



United States Department of Agriculture

Linking USGS Water Use Data to Detailed Industries for Environmental Input-Output Modeling of the U.S. Food System

Sarah Rehkamp, Patrick Canning, and Catherine Birney

2018 FCSM Research and Policy Conference
March 9, 2018

The views expressed are those of the authors and should not be attributed to the Economic Research Service or USDA




Motivation for studying water

- Water is a finite natural resource and primary input in the U.S. food system.
- Water faces stress due to:
 - Climate change
 - Population growth
 - Dietary changes



Water withdrawals 1995-2010



Source: Authors' calculations based on USGS data




Motivation for linking data sources

- Environmental input-output (EIO) model
 - Allows for measurement of direct and indirect water
 - Used widely in the literature for resource assessment
- Answer interesting policy questions within an economic systems framework
 - Rehkamp and Canning (2018) study water use in the U.S. food system using EIO
 - We are now expanding to a multi-year analysis



Water is used along all stages of the supply chain




Source: Lori Fields, USDA-ERS




Objective

- Link 8 broad water use categories from USGS to 344 narrower sectors in the EIO model



Density of Registered Irrigation Wells in Nebraska August 2007



The University of Nebraska-Lincoln is an equal opportunity educator and employer with a comprehensive plan for diversity.


CONSERVATION AND SURVEY DIVISION (<http://csd.unl.edu>)
 School of Natural Resources (<http://snr.unl.edu>)
 Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources/College of Arts and Sciences
 University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Mark Burbach, Water Levels Coordinator, CSD

Source: University of Nebraska (2018)



Allocation metrics



Note: Net public supply is allocated and domestic water withdrawals allocated to households



Irrigation allocation

$$WW_{irrigation,g,n} = WW_{irrigation,g} \times \frac{[Acres_{g,n} \times IrrRate_{g,n}]}{\sum_{g,n}[Acres_{g,n} \times IrrRate_{g,n}]}$$

Source data from USGS

Share of n based on allocation metric

where

- WW is water withdrawals
- g is geographical index
- n is commodity index
- Acres is irrigated acres harvested (Census of Agriculture)
- IrrRate is irrigation rate (Farm and Ranch Irrigation Survey)



Livestock allocation

$$WW_{livestock,g,n} = WW_{livestock,g} \times \frac{[Inv_{g,n} \times WUC_n]}{\sum_{g,n}[Inv_{g,n} \times WUC_n]}$$

Source data from USGS

Share of n based on allocation metric

where

- WW is water withdrawals
- g is geographical index
- n is commodity index
- Inv is livestock inventory (Census of Agriculture)
- WUC is water use coefficient (USGS)



Constrained maximum-likelihood estimation for data suppressions

$$1) \min_{x_{n,g,r}^1} \sum_n \sum_g \sum_r \left(\frac{x_{n,g,r}^1 - x_{n,g,r}^0}{v_{n,g,r}^0} \right)^2$$

subject to

$$2) \sum_{nc \in np} x_{nc,g,r}^1 = x_{np,g,r}^1, \quad \forall np \in n, g, r$$

Commodity constraint
peaches < non-citrus fruit

$$3) \sum_{gc \in gp} x_{n,gc,r}^1 = x_{n,gp,r}^1, \quad \forall gp \in g, n, r$$

Geography constraint
county < state

$$4) \sum_{rc \in rp} x_{n,g,rc}^1 = x_{n,g,rp}^1, \quad \forall rp \in r, n, g$$

Row constraint
bearing age acres < total

$$5) x_{n,g,r}^1 = x_{n,g,r}^0 \quad \forall v_{n,g,r}^0 = 0$$

**Zero variance of published
statistic**

Source: Canning (2013)



Livestock example

- Use geographical and commodity constraints
- Also inform the model by number of farms by inventory range

Table 11. **Cattle and Calves - Inventory and Sales: 2007 and 2002 - Con.**


[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Brown	Carlton	Carver	Cass	Chippewa
INVENTORY					
Milk cows farms, 2007	69	31	120	18	1
..... farms, 2002	97	38	168	28	8
..... number, 2007	5,461	1,294	9,250	1,218	(D)
..... number, 2002	6,847	1,691	11,992	1,533	653
2007 farms by inventory:					
1 to 9 farms	2	8	4	3	-
..... number	(D)	29	(D)	3	-
10 to 19 farms	-	5	1	2	-
..... number	-	(D)	(D)	(D)	-
20 to 49 farms	23	10	36	8	1
..... number	837	347	1,254	283	(D)
50 to 99 farms	26	4	51	2	-
..... number	1,765	238	3,515	(D)	-
100 to 199 farms	15	3	23	1	-
..... number	2,073	312	3,077	(D)	-
200 to 499 farms	3	1	5	2	-
..... number	(D)	(D)	1,375	(D)	-
500 or more farms	-	-	-	-	-
..... number	-	-	-	-	-

Source: Census of Agriculture (2007)



Linking water to industries




Also aggregate up geographically for EIO analysis.



U.S. food system uses 28% of total water withdrawals, 2005


369 billion liters of water = 148 million Olympic-sized swimming pools



Source: Rehkamp & Canning (2018)



Meats in current American diet use the most water



Source: Rehkamp & Canning (2018)



Thank You!

Contact Information:

Sarah Rehkamp

sarah.rehkamp@ers.usda.gov

202-694-5584



References

- Canning, P. 2013. “Maximum-Likelihood Estimates of a US Multiregional Household Expenditure System,” *Econ Systems Research*, Vol 25(2): June. pp.245-64
- Rehkamp, S. and Canning, P. 2018. “Measuring Embodied Blue Water in American Diets: An EIO Supply Chain Approach,” *Ecological Economics*, Vol 147: May. pp.179-188
- University of Nebraska. 2018. “Location of Irrigation Wells in Nebraska,” <https://water.unl.edu/cropswater/newellsmap>

